Finding the Likelihood of Gun Incidents in Central Philadelphia


Training on North Philadelphia

## Steps:

This problem is a predictive modeling problem. It uses unsupervised clustering to train assumptions on one area and then test on another. Thus, we will be using the steps of predictive modeling.

1. Identify the dependent variable. Here that variable is Gun Incidents. We take the Kernel Density of Gun Incidents to find the likelihood of shootings occurring across the area of study.
2. Engineer Features, or independent variables, to predict the dependent variable. We use Kernel Density for Population variables and IDW-Interpolation for Condition variables. These tools enable us to get a smooth range of these values across the region in raster form.
3. Standardize the features using Slice so they all have a similar range.
4. Use the ISO Clustering tool to input our features and find the model with the combination of features that best captures the gun incidents kernel density layer.
5. Now that we have our trained model, we must test it on the Central Philadelphia area. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 for the same features but in Central Philly.
6. Use ISO Clustering tool with the same combination of features in North Philly to predict for Central Philadelphia.
7. Change the symbology layers and colors to reflect the likelihood of gun incidents from Well Below Average to Well Above Average
8. Test if our clustering is accurate by overlaying our cluster layer on top of the intersection of gun incidents in North Philly to see if it captures the hot spots.
*Before we start make sure to change the environment to North Philly

Use Kernel Density to find the density in where clusters of gun incidents are occurring. Set area to Square Feet as we want quite a small area to be covered. Once we have the layer, change Symbology to Stretch and Stretch Type to Standard Deviation.


We will be comparing our ISO clustering in North Philly to this dependent variable.

## Feature Engineering- Kernel

Density

We use Kernel Density for feature engineering the population data because it consists of counts of people and not rates. Additionally, we set the area units to square feet as gun incidents are relatively localized occurrences and we want to be able to track them on a small granular level. We then change the symbology of each map to be in Stretch and the Stretch Type to be Standard Deviation.

| Geoprocessing |
| :--- |
| Kernel Density |
| Parameters Environments |
| Input point or polyline features |
| North_Populations |
| Population field |
| NHBLACK |
| Output raster |
| KD_Black |
| Output cell size |
| 93.8073887434002 |
| Search radius |
| Area units |
| Square feet |
| Output cell values |
| Densities |
| Method |
| Planar |
| Input barrier features |
|  |



Then we slice the data into 20 classes using Natural Breaks, this helps to standardize the range across demographic groups


## Scaling using Slice

White


## Feature Engineering: IDW- Interpolation

For our features that are rates or percentages instead of counts, we use interpolation to create a smoothed out range across the region. We use a fixed search radius set to 10000 square feet (roughly 1.8 miles) because again we want our distances to be concentrated on immediate areas. We then change the symbology of each map to be in Stretch and the Stretch Type to be Standard

Deviation.


After getting these maps, we also slice them to standardize the range using 20 classes and Natural Breaks, these second maps are not shown here, but
the step was taken


Minimum points $\square$
Input barrier polyline features

Percent of individuals living below poverty level


Seems these two features are correlated $\downarrow$

Percent of Individuals under the age of 5


## Now we have to build the model!

We used the ISO Cluster Unsupervised Classification Tool and added in various combinations of our sliced features. We made sure to set the number of classes to 5 as that is the amount of clusters we want. We tried out three different combinations of features in order to get three different models to work with.

Version 2

## Version 1



Version 3


Combinations of Features

Testing the model on the "training data" of Gun incidents in North Philly
Now we test out each cluster version on the heatmap of gun incidents in Philly to see if it has captured the variation in incidents well. We test this by overlaying our cluster models on top of the layer we already
made of gun incidents and changing the transparency.

Version 1



Based on this, it seems version 3 does the best job of capturing the variation in gun incidents. Therefore we will use these same features to predict for gun incidents in Central Philly.

1. We must reset our environment to be on the Central Philly Roads layer and not North Philly.
2. Go through same steps as previously where we use the Kernel Density tool on the population data and the IDW-Interpolation Tool on the Conditions Data
3. Then we slice all 7 layers making 20 classes for each with method of Natural Breaks
4. Change the symbology for each to Stretch with Stretch Type Standard Deviation and inverted Bathymetry \#3 color scheme

Central Philly Study
Region


Sliced Maps of Demographics Features once Kernel Density and Slicing has been completed. We can see here, like in the North Philly data, that the spatial pattern of race in Central Philly occupies quite different areas.


Black


White



Percent of individuals living below poverty level

Sliced Maps of Features Engineered: Note that similarity in spatial patterns between maps in first column and maps in second. Additionally, note that dark
 area in the college and median monthly rent map is Center City which is the lighter colored area in the other two maps, showing the patterns are slightly the inverse of each other.

Dark Blue areas are higher density, Light Blue is
lower density

Test the optimal features from North Philly Version 3 Model on Central Philly using ISO Clustering.

Output:

Features:


North Philly Version 3 Model for Reference

## Validating the Model

Rename the clusters in the Symbology tab to go from Well Below Average to Well Above Average. Because these are clusters labelled arbitrarily from 1-5, we rename them based on the North Philly clustering pattern. We've also changed the colors in the Symbology tab to correspond with the colors that make sense visually to go from "bad" to "good".


Using the North Philly Gun Incidents layer, we can check to see if our clustering holds true. Based on this image, it seems we've captured some gun incidents in two different clusterings. I would say this means we were successful!


Final Map of Central Philly Likelihood of Gun Incidents


